

**File #:**

62-CE-7221

**Serial Scope:**

1 thru 3

NR 722 WA CODE

1:17PM NITEL 6-13-75 VLJ

TO ALL SACS

FROM DIRECTOR (62-116464)

PERSONAL ATTENTION

HOUSTUDY 75.

REBUTELS MAY 2, 20, 1975, "SENSTUDY 75."

BUFILE 62-116464 AND CODE NAME "HOUSTUDY 75" DESIGNATED  
FOR ALL MATTERS RELATING TO HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE TO STUDY  
GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES  
AND BUREAU'S HANDLING OF MATTERS PERTAINING THERETO. USE  
THIS FILE NUMBER AND CAPTION FOR MATTERS RELATING TO HOUSE  
COMMITTEE AS SEPARATE FROM SENSTUDY 75 FOR MATERS RELATING  
TO SENATE COMMITTEE.

END

EMM FBI CG

- ① Supr. Payer *RP*
- ② CC *me*
- ③ ASAC *power*
- ④ SAC *J*

62-7221-1

SEARCHED	INDEXED <i>pl</i>
SERIALIZED	FILED
JUN 13 1975	
FBI - CHICAGO	

*62-7221-1*  
*RP 6/16/75*

\_\_\_\_ SAC  
\_\_\_\_ ASAC  
\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_ CC  
\_\_\_\_ ASST CC  
\_\_\_\_ STENO SUP  
\_\_\_\_ C-1  
\_\_\_\_ C-2  
\_\_\_\_ C-3  
\_\_\_\_ C-4  
\_\_\_\_ C-5  
\_\_\_\_ C-6  
\_\_\_\_ C-7  
\_\_\_\_ C-8  
\_\_\_\_ C-9  
\_\_\_\_ C-10  
\_\_\_\_ S-1  
\_\_\_\_ S-2  
\_\_\_\_ S-3  
\_\_\_\_ S-4  
\_\_\_\_ S-5  
\_\_\_\_ S-6

*Inde*

*Admin*

*Adrian*

*Clara  
S. [unclear]*

68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
00

NR064 WA PLAIN

7:22PM NITEL 2-11-76 TKR

TO ALL SACS

FROM DIRECTOR

TESTIMONY BEFORE HOUSE CIVIL RIGHTS AND CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS  
SUBCOMMITTEE FEBRUARY 11, 1976.

THE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND I TESTIFIED BEFORE  
CAPTIONED SUBCOMMITTEE TODAY CONCERNING LEGISLATIVE  
POLICIES AND GUIDELINES FOR THE FBI. COPIES OF THE  
STATEMENTS PRESENTED TO THE COMMITTEE BY THE ATTORNEY  
GENERAL AND ME ARE BEING MAILED TO ALL OFFICES TODAY. FOR  
YOUR INFORMATION, THERE FOLLOWS A SYNOPSIS ACCOUNT OF THE  
MAJOR AREAS OF THE SUBCOMMITTEE'S QUESTIONS TO ME, TOGETHER  
WITH MY RESPONSES:

(1) IN RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS REGARDING THE  
PREVENTIVE ACTION PROVISION IN THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S  
PROPOSED GUIDELINES FOR THE FBI WHICH ARE CITED IN HIS  
PREPARED STATEMENT, I STATED THAT THE PRIMARY MANDATE OF  
LAW ENFORCEMENT IS PREVENTION; THAT WE CANNOT INVESTIGATE  
SOLELY "AFTER THE FACT"; THAT ACTION TO PREVENT LEGITIMATE  
DISSENT UNDER OUR DEMOCRATIC FORM OF GOVERNMENT WOULD BE  
INTOLERABLE; THAT PRIOR TO TAKING PREVENTIVE ACTION IN A

*Just handle*  
*[Signature]*  
*[Signature]*  
*[Signature]*

*Suggest  
Agents learn  
of this.*

62-7221-21  
SEARCHED INDEXED  
SERIALIZED FILED  
FEB 11 1976  
FBI - CHICAGO  
*[Signature]*

*cc to 100-00*

PAGE TWO

DOMESTIC SECURITY CASE TODAY WE WOULD ASCERTAIN THE NATURE AND EXTENT OF THE THREAT INVOLVED, CONSULT WITH THE DEPARTMENT, AND REACH A WORKABLE SOLUTION AS TO ANY NECESSARY AND PROPER ACTION TO BE TAKEN.

(2) REGARDING THE GUIDELINES, QUESTIONS WERE ASKED CONCERNING MY INPUT (MY RESPONSE WAS THAT THE FBI HAS A REPRESENTATIVE ON THE GUIDELINES COMMITTEE, AND I RECEIVE REPORTS FROM TIME TO TIME CONCERNING THE THRUST OF THESE GUIDELINES) AND WHETHER THE GUIDELINES IN PRESENT FORM ARE TOO STRICT OR LOOSE (MY RESPONSE WAS THAT THE FBI IS NOT UNCOMFORTABLE WITH THE GUIDELINES; THAT I CANNOT BROADLY CATEGORIZE THEM AS STRICT OR LOOSE; THAT THEY ARE STILL UNDER CONSIDERATION BUT AT THIS POINT ARE NOT TOO RESTRICTIVE).

(3) IN RESPONSE TO A QUESTION AS TO WHETHER THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE SUPERVISES THE FBI, I STATED THAT I RECOGNIZE THAT IT DOES AND THAT I CAN STATE UNEQUIVOCALLY THAT I HAVE A VERY PLEASANT RELATIONSHIP WITH THE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND THAT WE GET ALONG VERY WELL.

(THE ATTORNEY GENERAL AGREED AND POINTED OUT THAT THE FBI HAS TO HAVE CONSIDERABLE AUTONOMY, THAT THE FBI DIRECTOR'S RESPONSIBILITY IS GREAT, AND THAT THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

PAGE THREE

HAS GENERAL OVERSIGHT RESPONSIBILITY OVER THE BUREAU. HE NOTED THAT THE ATTORNEY GENERAL "IS NOT RUNNING THE FBI" -- OR HE WOULD NOT HAVE TIME FOR ANYTHING ELSE -- AND THAT THERE IS "SOME DISTANCE" BETWEEN THE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND THE FBI DIRECTOR.)

(4) IN RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS CONCERNING CONTINUED OVERSIGHT OF THE FBI BY CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES, I STATED THAT SINCE APRIL, 1975, THE FBI HAS DEVOTED 4500 AGENT DAYS AND 2221 CLERICAL DAYS TO PROVIDE CONGRESS WITH THE INFORMATION THAT IT HAS REQUESTED; THAT SOME SOURCES AND INFORMANTS HAVE BECOME UNWILLING TO FURNISH US INFORMATION BECAUSE OF THE WIDESPREAD DISCLOSURE OF THE MATERIAL WE HAVE PROVIDED CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES; THAT THE FBI DOES NOT OBJECT TO OVERSIGHT; THAT WE ARE WILLING TO HAVE OVERSIGHT AND GUIDELINES BUT THAT WE WANT TO DEVELOP SOME BALANCE SO THAT WE MAY MAINTAIN OUR CAPABILITIES INTACT TO FULLY DISCHARGE OUR RESPONSIBILITIES.

ALL LEGATS ADVISED SEPARATELY.

END

BAW FBI CG CLR FOR THREE THX

NR 046 WA PLAIN

7:04PM NITEL 2-20-76 VLN

TO ALL SAC'S

FROM DIRECTOR

DIRECTOR'S APPEARANCE BEFORE HOUSE SUBCOMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS  
FISCAL YEAR 1977.

THIS MORNING I APPEARED BEFORE THE HOUSE SUBCOMMITTEE  
ON APPROPRIATIONS IN CONNECTION WITH THE FBI'S FISCAL  
YEAR 1977 BUDGET REQUEST. AS YOU HAVE BEEN ADVISED, THIS  
REQUEST INCLUDED SEVERE CUTS FOR TRAINING OF STATE AND LOCAL  
LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS AND ADMINISTRATIVELY UNCONTROLLABLE  
OVERTIME (AUO). NEITHER OF THESE CUTS WAS INITIATED BY THE  
FBI; HOWEVER, THEY WERE ADMINISTRATION POLICY DECISIONS AND  
OUR 1977 BUDGET JUSTIFICATION SHOWED THEM AS SUCH IN SUPPORT  
OF THE PRESIDENT'S BUDGET.

DURING THE MORE THAN THREE HOURS OF HEARINGS, THE  
GENERAL TENOR OF THE COMMITTEE MEMBERS WAS THAT THESE CUTS  
ARE UNCONSCIONABLE ESPECIALLY IN THE FACE OF RISING CRIME.

- SAC
- ASAC
- CC
- ASST CC
- STEVE SUB
- C-1
- C-2
- C-3
- C-4
- C-5
- C-6
- C-7
- C-8
- C-9
- C-10
- S-1
- S-2
- S-3
- S-4
- S-5
- S-6

*Read at Agents  
Conference  
2/23/76*

62-7281-3

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
FEB 20 1976	
FBI-CHICAGO	

*ND*

PAGE TWO

VIRTUALLY, EVERY MEMBER OF THE COMMITTEE HAD QUESTIONS REGARDING THE CUT IN AUC AND HOW IT WOULD AFFECT THE WORKLOAD MORALE AND INDIVIDUALS' INCOME. I RESPONDED CONCERNING THE HEAVY WORKLOAD AND NOTED THAT THE IMPACT ON OUR SPECIAL AGENTS' MORALE WOULD BE MOST DETRIMENTAL. ONE MEMBER STATED HE WOULD DEFINITELY NOT SUPPORT A BUDGET SHOWING CUTS OF SUCH MAGNITUDE.

AS I HAVE STATED BEFORE, THIS ENTIRE MATTER IS CONTINGENT UPON THE RESULTS OF CONGRESSIONAL ACTION; HOWEVER I JUST WANTED YOU TO KNOW THAT OPPORTUNITIES AROSE TO SUPPORT THE INTERESTS OF OUR AGENTS AND ALSO THE ATTITUDE DISPLAYED AT TODAY'S HEARINGS. YOU WILL BE KEPT ADVISED OF SUBSEQUENT DEVELOPMENTS.

EM