

107TH CONGRESS
2^D SESSION

H. R. 2990

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

JULY 23, 2002

Received; read twice and referred to the Committee on Energy and Natural
Resources

AN ACT

To amend the Lower Rio Grande Valley Water Resources
Conservation and Improvement Act of 2000 to authorize
additional projects under that Act, and for other pur-
poses.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

1 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

2 This Act may be cited as the “Lower Rio Grande Val-
3 ley Water Resources Conservation and Improvement Act
4 of 2002”.

5 **SEC. 2. AUTHORIZATION OF ADDITIONAL PROJECTS**
6 **UNDER THE LOWER RIO GRANDE VALLEY**
7 **WATER RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND IM-**
8 **PROVEMENT ACT OF 2000.**

9 Section 4(a) of the Lower Rio Grande Valley Water
10 Resources Conservation and Improvement Act of 2000
11 (Public Law 106–576; 114 Stat. 3067) is amended by
12 adding at the end the following:

13 “(5) In the United Irrigation District of Hi-
14 dalgo County, Texas, a pipeline and pumping system
15 as identified in the Sigler, Winston, Greenwood, As-
16 sociates, Incorporated, study dated January 2001.

17 “(6) In the Cameron County, Texas, Irrigation
18 District No. 2, proposed improvements to Canal C,
19 as identified in the February 8, 2001, engineering
20 report by Martin, Brown, and Perez.

21 “(7) In the Cameron County, Texas, Irrigation
22 District No. 2, a proposed Canal C and Canal 13
23 Inner Connect, as identified in the February 12,
24 2001, engineering report by Martin, Brown, and
25 Perez.

1 “(8) In Delta Lake Irrigation District of Hi-
2 dalgo and Willacy Counties, Texas, proposed water
3 conservation projects, as identified by the AW Blair
4 Engineering report of February 13, 2001.

5 “(9) In the Hidalgo and Cameron County,
6 Texas, Irrigation District No. 9, a proposed project
7 to salvage spill water using automatic control of
8 canal gates as identified in the AW Blair Engineer-
9 ing report dated February 14, 2001.

10 “(10) In the Brownsville Irrigation District of
11 Cameron County, Texas, a proposed main canal re-
12 placement as outlined in the Holdar-Garcia & Asso-
13 ciates engineering report dated February 14, 2001.

14 “(11) In the Hidalgo County, Texas, Irrigation
15 District No. 16, a proposed off-district pump station
16 project as identified by the Melden & Hunt, Incor-
17 porated, engineering report dated February 14,
18 2001.

19 “(12) In the Hidalgo County, Texas, Irrigation
20 District No. 1, a proposed canal replacement of the
21 North Branch East Main, as outlined in the Melden
22 & Hunt, Incorporated, engineering analysis dated
23 February, 2001.

24 “(13) In the Donna (Texas) Irrigation District,
25 a proposed improvement project as identified by the

1 Melden & Hunt, Incorporated, engineering analysis
2 dated February 13, 2001.

3 “(14) In the Hudspeth County, Texas, Con-
4 servation and Reclamation District No. 1, the Alamo
5 Arroyo Pumping Plant water quality project as iden-
6 tified by the engineering report and drawings by
7 Gebhard-Sarma and Associates dated July 1996 and
8 the construction of a 1,000 acre-foot off-channel reg-
9 ulating reservoir for the capture and conservation of
10 irrigation water, as identified in the engineering re-
11 port by AW Blair Engineering dated June 2002.

12 “(15) In the El Paso County, Texas, Water Im-
13 provement District No. 1, the Riverside Canal Im-
14 provement Project Phase I Reach A, a canal lining
15 and water conservation project as identified by the
16 engineering report by AW Blair Engineering dated
17 June 2002.

18 “(16) In the Maverick County, Texas, Water
19 Improvement and Control District No. 1, the con-
20 crete lining project of 12 miles of the Maverick Main
21 Canal, identified in the engineering report by AW
22 Blair Engineering dated June 2002.

23 “(17) In the Hidalgo County, Texas, Irrigation
24 District No. 6, rehabilitation of 10.2 miles of con-
25 crete lining in the main canal between Lift Stations

1 Nos. 2 and 3 as identified in the engineering report
2 by AW Blair Engineering dated June 2002.

3 “(18) In the Hidalgo County, Texas, Irrigation
4 District No. 2, Wisconsin Canal Improvements as
5 identified in the Sigler, Winston, Greenwood & Asso-
6 ciates, Incorporated, engineering report dated Feb-
7 ruary 2001.

8 “(19) In the Hidalgo County, Texas, Irrigation
9 District No. 2, Lateral ‘A’ Canal Improvements as
10 identified in the Sigler, Winston, Greenwood & Asso-
11 ciates, Incorporated, engineering report dated July
12 25, 2001.”.

13 **SEC. 3. AMENDMENTS TO THE LOWER RIO GRANDE VALLEY**
14 **WATER RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND IM-**
15 **PROVEMENT ACT OF 2000.**

16 The Lower Rio Grande Valley Water Resources Con-
17 servation and Improvement Act of 2000 (Public Law 106–
18 576; 114 Stat. 3065 et seq.) is further amended as fol-
19 lows:

20 (1) Section 3(a) is amended in the first sen-
21 tence by striking “The Secretary” and all that fol-
22 lows through “in cooperation” and inserting “The
23 Secretary, acting through the Bureau of Reclama-
24 tion, shall undertake a program under cooperative
25 agreements”.

1 (2) Section 3(b) is amended to read as follows:

2 “(b) PROJECT REVIEW.—Project proposals shall be
3 reviewed and evaluated under the guidelines set forth in
4 the document published by the Bureau of Reclamation en-
5 titled ‘Guidelines for Preparing and Reviewing Proposals
6 for Water Conservation and Improvement Projects Under
7 P.L. 106–576’, dated June 2001.”.

8 (3) Section 3(d) is amended by inserting before
9 the period at the end the following: “, including op-
10 eration, maintenance, repair, and replacement”.

11 (4) Section 3(e) is amended by striking “the
12 criteria established pursuant to this section” and in-
13 sserting “the guidelines referred to in subsection
14 (b)”.

15 (5) Subsection (f) of section 3 is amended by
16 striking “to prepare” and all that follows through
17 the end of the subsection and inserting “to have the
18 Secretary prepare the reports required under this
19 section. The Federal share of the cost of such prepa-
20 ration by the Secretary shall not exceed 50 percent
21 of the total cost of such preparation.”.

22 (6) Section 3(g) is amended by striking
23 “\$2,000,000” and inserting “\$8,000,000”.

24 (7) Section 4(b) is amended—

1 (A) in the first sentence by striking “costs
2 of any construction” and inserting “total
3 project cost of any project”; and

4 (B) in the last sentence by inserting “the
5 actual” before “funds”.

6 (8) Section 4(c) is amended by striking
7 “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$47,000,000 (2001
8 dollars)”.

Passed the House of Representatives July 22, 2002.

Attest:

JEFF TRANDAHL,

Clerk.