

103<sup>D</sup> CONGRESS  
2<sup>D</sup> SESSION

# H. R. 3989

To reduce domestic and defense discretionary spending.

---

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

MARCH 9, 1994

Mr. SHAYS (for himself and Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts) introduced the following bill; which was referred jointly to the Committees on Science, Space, and Technology, Armed Services, Energy and Commerce, and Natural Resources

---

## A BILL

To reduce domestic and defense discretionary spending.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*  
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3 **SECTION 1. RESCISSION OF FUNDS AND CANCELLATION OF**  
4 **SPACE STATION.**

5 (a) CANCELLATION.—The Space Station program is  
6 hereby canceled.

7 (b) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There  
8 are authorized to be appropriated to the Administrator of  
9 the National Aeronautics and Space Administration—

10 (1) \$500,000,000 for costs associated with car-  
11 rying out subsection (a) of this section; and



1 velopment, test, and evaluation; procurement; and other  
2 programs, projects, and activities) may not exceed  
3 \$2,500,000,000.

4 (c) FISCAL YEAR 1996 AUTHORIZATION REDUC-  
5 TION.—The total amount authorized to be appropriated  
6 to the Department of Defense for fiscal year 1996 for the  
7 Ballistic Missile Defense Program (including research, de-  
8 velopment, test, and evaluation; procurement; and other  
9 programs, projects, and activities) may not exceed  
10 \$2,450,000,000.

11 (d) FISCAL YEAR 1997 AUTHORIZATION REDUC-  
12 TION.—The total amount authorized to be appropriated  
13 to the Department of Defense for fiscal year 1997 for the  
14 Ballistic Missile Defense Program (including research, de-  
15 velopment, test, and evaluation; procurement; and other  
16 programs, projects, and activities) may not exceed  
17 \$2,400,000,000.

18 (e) FISCAL YEAR 1998 AUTHORIZATION REDUC-  
19 TION.—The total amount authorized to be appropriated  
20 to the Department of Defense for fiscal year 1998 for the  
21 Ballistic Missile Defense Program (including research, de-  
22 velopment, test, and evaluation; procurement; and other  
23 programs, projects, and activities) may not exceed  
24 \$2,350,000,000.

1 **SEC. 3. RESCISSION OF FUNDS AND CANCELLATION OF AD-**  
2 **VANCED LIQUID METAL REACTOR PROGRAM.**

3 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Energy shall  
4 take such actions as are necessary to terminate, as soon  
5 as possible, the civilian portion of the advanced liquid  
6 metal reactor/integral fast reactor program of the Depart-  
7 ment of Energy, including the program’s promotion of the  
8 use of such reactors for the disposal of high-level radio-  
9 active waste and Department of Energy support for regu-  
10 latory applications to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
11 for design certification for advanced liquid metal reactors  
12 or related licensed facilities.

13 (b) RESCISSION OF FUNDS.—

14 (1) FISCAL YEAR 1994.—Subject to subsection  
15 (c), of the funds made available under the heading  
16 “Department of Energy—Energy Supply, Research  
17 and Development Activities” in the Energy and  
18 Water Development Appropriations Act, 1994 (Pub-  
19 lic Law 103–126), \$141,900,000 is rescinded, to be  
20 derived from the advanced liquid metal reactor/inte-  
21 gral fast reactor program.

22 (2) PRIOR FISCAL YEARS.—Of the funds made  
23 available under the heading “Department of En-  
24 ergy—Energy Supply, Research and Development  
25 Activities” in appropriations Acts for fiscal year  
26 1993 and prior fiscal years, the unobligated balance

1 available on the date of the enactment of this Act  
2 for the advanced liquid metal reactor/integral fast  
3 reactor program is rescinded.

4 (c) TERMINATION COSTS.—Subsection (b)(1) shall  
5 not apply to the amount of the funds, not exceeding  
6 \$96,600,000, required for termination of the advanced liq-  
7 uid metal reactor/integral fast reactor program.

8 **SEC. 4. REDUCTION OF FORCES IN EUROPE.**

9 (a) EFFECTIVE DATE FOR REQUIREMENT FOR RE-  
10 Duction TO 100,000 MILITARY PERSONNEL IN EUROPE  
11 CHANGED FROM FISCAL YEAR 1996 TO FISCAL YEAR  
12 1995.—Section 1303(b) of the National Defense Author-  
13 ization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (Public Law 102-484;  
14 22 U.S.C. 1928 note) is amended by striking out “October  
15 1, 1995” and inserting in lieu thereof “October 1, 1994”.

16 (b) FURTHER END STRENGTH REDUCTIONS RE-  
17 QUIRED.—Notwithstanding section 1002(c)(1) of the Na-  
18 tional Defense Authorization Act, 1985 (22 U.S.C. 1928  
19 note), for each of fiscal years 1995, 1996, 1997, and  
20 1998, the Secretary of Defense shall reduce the end  
21 strength level of members of the Armed Forces of the  
22 United States assigned to permanent duty ashore in Euro-  
23 pean member nations of the North Atlantic Treaty Orga-  
24 nization in accordance with subsection (c).

1       (c) REDUCTION FORMULA.—For each percentage  
2 point that the allied contribution level is below the goal  
3 specified in subsection (d) as of the end of a fiscal year,  
4 as determined by the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary  
5 of Defense shall reduce the end strength level of members  
6 of the Armed Forces of the United States assigned to per-  
7 manent duty ashore in European member nations of  
8 NATO by 1,000 for the next fiscal year. The reduction  
9 shall be made from the end strength level in effect, pursu-  
10 ant to section 1002(c)(1) of the National Defense Author-  
11 ization Act, 1985 (22 U.S.C. 1928 note), and subsection  
12 (b) of this section (if applicable), for the fiscal year in  
13 which the allied contribution level is below the goal speci-  
14 fied in subsection (d).

15       (d) ANNUAL GOALS FOR FORCE REDUCTION.—The  
16 President is urged to seek, in continued efforts to enter  
17 into revised host-nation agreements as described in section  
18 1301(e) of National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal  
19 Year 1993 (Public Law 102–484; 106 Stat. 2545), to have  
20 European member nations of NATO assume an increased  
21 share of the nonpersonnel costs of United States military  
22 installations in those nations in accordance with the fol-  
23 lowing timetable:

24               (1) By September 30, 1994, 18.75 percent of  
25               such costs should be assumed by those nations.

1           (2) By September 30, 1995, 37.5 percent of  
2 such costs should be assumed by those nations.

3           (3) By September 30, 1996, 56.25 percent of  
4 such costs should be assumed by those nations.

5           (4) By September 30, 1997, 75 percent of such  
6 costs should be assumed by those nations.

7           (e) END STRENGTH AUTHORITY.—Notwithstanding  
8 reductions required pursuant to subsection (b), the Sec-  
9 retary of Defense may maintain an end strength of at least  
10 25,000 members of the Armed Forces of the United States  
11 assigned to permanent duty ashore in European member  
12 nations of NATO.

13          (f) ALLOCATION OF FORCE REDUCTIONS.—To the  
14 extent that there is a reduction in end strength level for  
15 any of the Armed Forces in European member nations  
16 of NATO in a fiscal year pursuant to subsection (b)—

17           (1) half of the reduction shall be used to make  
18 a corresponding reduction in the authorized end  
19 strength level for active duty personnel for such  
20 Armed Force for that fiscal year; and

21           (2) half of the reduction shall be used to make  
22 a corresponding increase in permanent assignments  
23 or deployments of forces in the United States or  
24 other nations (other than European member nations

1 of NATO) for each such Armed Force for that fiscal  
2 year, as determined by the Secretary of Defense.

3 (g) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this section:

4 (1) ALLIED CONTRIBUTION LEVEL.—The term  
5 “allied contribution level”, with respect to any fiscal  
6 year, means the aggregate amount of nonpersonnel  
7 costs for United States military installations in Eu-  
8 ropean member nations of NATO that are assumed  
9 during that fiscal year by such nations.

10 (2) NONPERSONNEL COSTS.—The term  
11 “nonpersonnel costs”, with respect to United States  
12 military installations in European member nations of  
13 NATO, means costs for those installations other  
14 than costs paid from military personnel accounts.

○