DOD Evaluation Committee Briefing - 27 September 1979

1. Introduction/Background

    a. Tasking and my background
    b. Early visits to SRI and AMSAA

2. Mission

3. SRI Visit: 15-17 Nov

    a. Initial orientation
    b. Work statement/training
    c. Criteria for selection of subjects

Well thought of	Adventurous
Above average intel	Open minded
Thinks well of himself	Successful
Articulate	Muture and stable
"Artistic"	

    d. Witnessed session

4. SRI Visit: 12-15 Dec

    a. Screening potential subjects
    b. Review recent SRI experiments
    c. Review of tapes
    d. Relationship of subject/interviewer
    e. Role of outbound team
    f. Factors that _inhibit_ success

        (1) Prior knowledge of target possibilities
        (2) Absence of feedback
        (3) Doing trivial tasks
        (4) Use of repetitive target sequences

    g. Factors that _enhance_ success

        (1) Interest factor
        (2) Seriousness of purpose
        (3) Monitor/interviewer to ask questions
        (4) Practice with feedback

5. Selection of Individuals: Target: 3 individuals

    a. Considered - 251
    b. Interviewed - 117
    c. Initial Results - 30-35 potential candidates
    d. 14 Feb - SRI visited - results
    e. Intelligence background of individuals

Incl 2

6. Began training late Feb - stopped March

7. Training with SRI - 13 May
   Second phase of training just started

8. <u>Training problems</u>

   a. Environment
   b. Work related situations
   c. Availability
   d. Frequency
   e. Time of day

9. <u>Target Selection Process</u>

10. <u>Current Program(s)</u>

    a. Training at SRI
    b. "Beacon" situations
    c. Coordinate work - 3 levels
    d. "Real" situations
    e. CDEC training

11. <u>Development of TCC</u>

12. <u>Future Objectives:</u>

    a. Continue development of individual abilities
    b. Complete training at SRI (Dec 79)
    c. Apply RV techniques to "live problems"
    d. Determine tasking procedures
    e. Align resources properly

13. <u>Evaluation Report</u>

MISSION STATEMENT

(S/ORCON) To establish a program using psychoenergics for
intelligence applications. Specifically, utilizing that
field of psychoenergics referred to as REMOTE VIEWING. The
program encompasses the following:

- Establishing a training program in REMOTE VIEWING
  utilizing selected INSCOM personnel.

- Establishing procedures for intelligence collection
  techniques utilizing REMOTE VIEWING.

- Establishing a mechanism for responding to intelligence
  collection requirements (tasking) using REMOTE VIEWING.

CLASSIFIED BY: Director, DIA
DECLASSIFY ON: 17 Mar 99
EXTENDED BY: Director, DIA
REASON: 2-301-C (3) (6)

ATTAchment A
to INCl 2

GRILL FLAME

TARGET SELECTION PROCESS

A. TARGETS

    1. Location
    2. Activity
    3. Time

B. METHOD OF TARGETING

    1. Beacon (Human and objects)

        a. Initial target pool
        b. Random (local)
        c. Operational designate (i.e. A6E)

    2. Geographic Coordinates

        a. Target Pool

            (1) "Fun Targets"/Initial Orientation
            (2) Series 200 Map Targets
            (3) TK Targets

        b. Operational Designate

    3. UTM Coordinates

C. DEVELOPMENT OF TARGETS

    1. Beacon - Initial Target Pool

        a. 50 Targets selected by individual outside project.
        b. Secured by another individual.
        c. How utilized.

    2. Beacon - Random

    3. Operational Designate

    4. Geographic Coordinates -- "Fun Targets"

        a. Pool designed by NCOIC
        b. Stored in Safe -- Access limited

    5. Geographic Coordinates - Series 200 Map Targets

        a. Pool designed by Project Manager
        b. Stored in Safe - Access limited

6. Geographic Coordinates - TK Targets

   a. Pool designed by IIPD
   b. Stored in SSO Area -- Access controlled by SSO.

7. Geographic Coordinates -- Operational Designate

   a. "Customer" Request
   b. Data stored in safe - access controlled by Project Manager.

8. UTM Coordinates

   a. Weekly targets provided by CDEC
   b. Data controlled by COL Moses, CDEC

PROJECT STATUS

Week Ending / /

CUT-OFF 18 SEP 79

③

SG1A

Target Identification	Date Assigned	Viewer	Interviewer	Analyst	No. of Sessions	T.C. Range	Target Cue or Beacon Type	Past	Present	Future	Status	Remarks
	10AUG	ALL	ALL	N/A	9	1-3	UTM/EVENT	X	X		ON-GOING	TRNG ONLY
	1SEP	ALL	ALL	AS ASSIGNED	4		GEO	X			ON-GOING	TRNG ONLY
	26SEP	8 5	TBD	TBD			TBD					OACSI SPECIAL
	TBD	3 1	F	S			TBD				AWAIT INFO FROM SSD, 902D MIGP	
	10SEP	5 7	S	8	1		TBD				ON-GOING	(1) C51, 13 SEP 79
	3SEP	6	F	3	4		GEO/POLAR	X			DATA UNDERGOING ANALYSIS	(1)C47, 7SEP, (2)C53,14SEP (3)C54,14SEP,(4)C55,14SEP
	TBD	3,6 1,8	F&S	TBD			TBD				AWAITING INITIAL GUIDANCE	

TARGET CORRELATION CHART (TCC)*

ASSIGNED VALUE	DESCRIPTION	RATING
1	Absolutely no target correlation.	None (0%)
2	Drawings, narrative, and feedback reaction have minimal target correlation.	Low (15%)
3	Increased target correlation, identity of target could not be determined.	Low-Moderate (30%)
4	Many target correlation factors readily recognizable. Target identity possibilities narrowed.	Moderate (50%)
5**	RV data shows unmistakable correlation to the target. Target possibilities can now be typified.	Moderate-Hig (70%)
6	Little or no extraneous RV data present. Target identity can be readily matched.	High (80%)
7	Correct naming of the target.	Direct Hit (100%)

*Target Correlation Chart (TCC) was established not to prove or disprove Remote Viewing (RV), rather, it was designed to measure RV learning trends and to provide Project Management personnel a readily available management tool.

**Current state-of-the-art indicates this level of expertise is the norm for an experienced Remote Viewer.

TARGET CORRELATION CHART (TCC)

FILE NO. ___1___

RATING	G R A D E	DATE / WEEK	REMARKS
DIRECT HIT (100%)	7		
HIGH (80%)	6		
MODERATE-HIGH (70%)	5		
MODERATE (50%)	4		
LOW-MODERATE (30%)	3		
LOW (15%)	2		
NONE (0%)	1		

Dates / Weeks:

Week	Date
1	25 FEB – 3 MAR
2	4 MAR – 10 MAR
3	11 MAR – 17 MAR
4	18 MAR – 24 MAR
5	25 MAR – 31 MAR
6	1 APR – 7 APR
7	8 APR – 14 APR
8	15 APR – 21 APR
9	22 APR – 28 APR
10	29 APR – 5 MAY
11	6 MAY – 12 MAY
12	13 MAY – 19 MAY
13	20 MAY – 26 MAY
14	27 MAY – 2 JUN
15	3 JUN – 9 JUN
16	10 JUN – 16 JUN
17	17 JUN – 23 JUN
18	24 JUN – 30 JUN
19	1 JUL – 7 JUL
20	8 JUL – 14 JUL
21	15 JUL – 21 JUL
22	22 JUL – 28 JUL
23	29 JUL – 4 AUG
24	5 AUG – 11 AUG
25	12 AUG – 18 AUG
26	19 AUG – 25 AUG
27	26 AUG – 1 SEP
28	2 SEP – 8 SEP
29	9 SEP – 15 SEP
30	16 SEP – 22 SEP

Remarks / Annotations:

- Week 1: RPT HAS NOT BEEN RATED
- Week 8: * NOISE FACTOR
- Week 10: * NOISE FACTOR
- Week 15: @ NON-RATED SESSION
- Week 19: * NOISE FACTOR
- Week 20: * NOISE FACTOR
- Week 21: TDY – w/COAST TRAINING
- Week 22: 25 JUL + NOT RATED YET
- Week 23: * NOISE FACTOR, * PERSONAL PROBLEMS
- Week 28: NOT RATED YET, SPECIAL 1 AGE

TARGET CORRELATION RATINGS

ASSIGNED VALUE	TOTAL
1	40
2	48
3	36
4	6
5	2
6	1
7	0
Not rated	9
Not graded	1
TOTAL	143

NOTE: 60 sessions adversely influenced by noise or work
related problems.