(U)   The information provided as enclosure to this report was obtained in response to a collection requirement provided by _____. This information was acquired from a new and potentially valuable source of intelligence.  Work is currently being pursued to determine the accuracy, reliability, and improvement potential of this source.  Your remarks and attention to the evaluation sheet will be the basis for our assessment of this new collection technique.  Therefore, the effort you expend will greatly assist us and will ultimately result in you receiving more data of increasing accuracy and reliability.

(U)   While formulating your judgements concerning the data, the following comments concerning this new source of intelligence may be helpful.

(U)   Foremost, the data is likely to consist of a mixture of correct and incorrect elements.  Specifically:

(1)   (S)   The <u>descriptive</u> elements are generally of higher reliability than <u>judgements or labels</u> as to what is being described (recreational swimming pool may be mistaken for water purification pools, an aircraft hull may be mistaken for a submarine hull, etc.). Therefore, seemingly appropriate descriptive elements should not be rejected because of mislabeling.

(2)   (S)   The data often contain gaps (in a 3-building complex, for example, perhaps only two of the buildings may be described, and an airfield may be added that isn't there). Such gaps or additions should not be taken to mean that the rest of the data is necessarily inaccurate.

(S)   Therefore, a recommended approach is to first examine the entire information packet to obtain an overall "flavor" of the response, reserving final judgement even in the face of certain errors, and then go back through for detailed analysis.

(U)   If you have questions regarding the data you have received or on its evaluation please feel free to contact me at any time.   Thank you.

(SRI Internal Use Only)

(U)  Project Name _____

(S)  Viewer _____

(S)  Monitor _____

(S)  Date _____ Time of Start _____ Time of Finish _____

(S)  Client _____

(S)  Priority    Urgent [ ] _____    Routine _____

(U)  Target Key _____

( )  Variance from Standard Protocol _____

(U)  Target ID No. _____

( )  Information Provided by Requestor _____

_____

_____

( )  Information Provided to the Monitor _____

_____

( )  Information Provided to the Source _____

_____

( )  Information Requested by Analyst _____

_____

(S)  Date Information Delivered to Client _____

(S)  Additional Data Request by Client    Yes [ ]    No [ ]

(S)  Dates Additional Data Requests Met _____

( )  Remarks _____

(S) SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET (U)

(U) For the summary evaluation, please check the following boxes as to the accuracy of the submitted material.

ACCURACY*

Site Contact, with

| | Little Correspondence 0 | Mixed Results 1 | Good 2 | Excellent 3 | Unknown | Not Applicable |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| (S) Geographical locale description (terrain, water, etc.) | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| (S) Large-scale manmade elements (cities, buildings, silos, docks, railroad lines, airfields, etc.) | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| (S) Small-scale manmade elements (antennas, computers, tanks, missiles, offices, etc.) | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| (S) General target ambience (research, production, administration, storage, troop movements, naval activity, air activity, weapons testing, etc.) | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| (S) Relevant specific activities (nuclear testing, missile firing, CBW storage, ELINT monitoring, etc.) | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| (S) Personality information (physical descriptions, actions, responsibilities, plans, etc.) | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |

(S) Overall utility    None ☐    Marginal ☐    Useful ☐    Very Useful ☐    Cannot be determined at this time ☐

*(U) Definitions for the accuracy scale:
0 - Little correspondence . . . . . . . Self explanatory.
1 - Site contact with mixed results . . . . . . . Mixture of correct and incorrect elements, but enough of the former to indicate source has probably accessed the target site.
2 - Good . . . . . . . . . . . Good correspondence with several elements matching, but some incorrect information.
3 - Excellent . . . . . . . . Good correspondence with unambiguous unique matchable elements and relatively little incorrect information.